

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/695,051	10/695,051 10/27/2003		Michael Von Mayenburg	450-67182	6014	
24197	7590	03/26/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
•		RKMAN, LLP	TORRES, I	TORRES, MELANIE		
121 SW SALMON STREET SUITE 1600				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PORTLAN	PORTLAND, OR 97204			3683		
				DATE MAII ED: 03/26/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.1 7	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
-	10/695,051	MAYENBURG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	Melanie Torres	3683					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 O	<u>ctober 2003</u> .						
<u> </u>	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4,5 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		(-) (4) o- (6)					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/27/03, 1/20/04.</li> </ul>		al Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/695,051

Art Unit: 3683

•)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ross et al. in view of Schmidt et al. ('893)

Re claims 1-3 and 6-8, Ross et al. teaches a method of parking a vehicle comprising: applying a first parking brake (25) to brake at least one wheel attached to a first end portion of a first axle at one side of the vehicle and applying a second parking brake (24) to brake at least one wheel attached to a second end portion of a second axle at a second side of the vehicle opposite to the first side of the vehicle. However, Ross et al. does not teach applying a first parking brake to brake at least one wheel attached to a first end portion of a first axle at one side of the vehicle without applying parking brake to any wheel at the other end portion of the first axle opposite to said one end portion of the first axle. Schmidt et al. teach applying a first brake to brake at least one wheel attached to a first end portion of a first axle at one side of the vehicle without applying parking brake to any wheel at the other end portion of the first axle opposite to said one end portion of the first axle. (Figure 2) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide diagonal braking in order to provide braking effects to both axles.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,051

Art Unit: 3683

## Allowable Subject Matter

Page 3

3. Claims 4, 5 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

4. Claims 10 and 11 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Schmidt et al. ('836) teach applying a first brake to brake at least one wheel attached to a first end portion of a first axle at one side of the vehicle without applying parking brake to any wheel at the other end portion of the first axle opposite to said one end portion of the first axle.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie Torres whose telephone number is (703)305-0293. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on (703)308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/695,051

Art Unit: 3683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MT

March 17, 2004

Milanie Jones 3/17/04